

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/566,207	01/27/2006	An-Pang Tsai	053484	1015		
38834 7590 0608/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			VAN OUDENAREN, SARAH A			
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1793			
			WIT DIE	DET HERWINGSE		
			MAIL DATE 06/08/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,207	TSAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SARAH VAN OUDENAREN	1793	

	SARAH VAN OUDENAREN	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	n).					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFF 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 'th 07 OFD 44 07	Flact - Mile to the second				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		ripliant Amendment (F TOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. \(\bar{\text{Z}}\) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/S. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 1793					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of claim 7 to exclude some of the Cu particles converting into CuO thus limiting the claim to substantially all of the Cu particles converting to CuO particles requires a new search by examiner and therefore is not entered. New matter has been entered in claim 7, line 11, a temperature range of 0-90 degrees Celsius is included, however there is no support for such an amendment. Applicant only teaches a temperature range of 40-90 degrees Celsius as in claim 9 and applicant's disclosure pg 13, lines 14-15.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment of claim 7 to exclude some of the Cu particles converting into CuO thus limiting the claim to substantially all of the Cu particles converting to CuO particles requires a new search by examiner and therefore is not entered. Although, as applicant notes, Yoshimura teaches reducing the samples in a hydrogen at the time of the invention to use a heat treatment in an oxidizing atmosphere to produce the CuO from the Cu particles. However, as stated above and noted by applicant, Yoshimura teaches only some of the Cu particles being converted to CuO and the amendment is therefore not entered as it would require further consideration. New matter has been entered in claim 7, line 11, a temperature range of 0.90 degrees Celsius is included, however there is no support for such an amendment. Applicant only teaches a temperature range of 40-90 degrees Celsius is included, and applicant's disclosure pq 13, lines 14-15.